MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

April 17, 1981 / Vol. 30 / No. 14

Current Trends

161 Compendium of Animal Rabies Vaccines, 1981

164 Survey of Viral Hepatitis Surveillance Activities in State and Local Health Departments

170 Volcano Monitoring Program — Mount St. Helens Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

171 Outbreak of Illness due to Clostridium perfringens — California

Current Trends

Compendium of Animal Rabies Vaccines, 1981

The purpose of these recommendations, prepared by the National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, Inc. (NASPHV),* is to provide information on rabies vaccines to practicing veterinarians, public health officials, and others concerned with rabies control. This document will serve as the basis for animal rabies vaccination programs throughout the United States. Its adoption by cooperating organizations will result in standardization of recommendations and requirements among jurisdictions, which is necessary for an effective national rabies-control program. These recommendations shall be reviewed and revised as necessary prior to the beginning of each calendar year. (All animal rabies vaccines licensed by the U.S. Department of Agriculture [USDA] and marketed in the United States are listed in the Compendium [Table 1].)

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMMUNIZATION PROCEDURES

Vaccine Administration: It is recommended that all animal rabies vaccines be restricted to use by or under the supervision of a veterinarian.

Vaccine Selection: While recognizing the efficacy of vaccines with the shorter duration of immunity, the Committee recommends the use of vaccines with the 3-year duration of immunity since they offer the least expensive and most effective method of community rabies control.

Route of Inoculation: All rabies vaccines must be administered intramuscularly at 1 site in the thigh.

High-Risk Rabies Area: Revaccination schedules may be altered from stated recommendations in high-risk rabies areas herein defined, for the purpose of canine rabies vaccination, to mean any area (county, city, or town) wherein indigenous dog-to-dog rabies transmission is occurring, as identified by the state health department.

Wildlife Vaccination: No vaccine is licensed for use in animals other than those listed in Table 1. It is recommended that neither wild nor exotic animals be kept as household pets.

^{*}P.O. Box 13528, Baltimore, Maryland 21203. The NASPHV Compendium Committee members for 1980 are: MK Abelseth, DVM, DVPH, PhD; KŁ Crawford, DVM, MPH, Chairman; JI Freeman, DVM, MPH; GB Miller, Jr., MD; JM Shuler, DVM, MPH; and RK Sikes, DVM, MPH. Endorsers are: U.S. Animal Health Association, Rabies Comm., American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) Council on Public Health and Regulatory Veterinary Medicine, and Conference of State and Territorial Epidemiologists. Consultants to the Committee are: LE Fredrickson, DVM, MPH, AVMA Council on Public Health and Regulatory Veterinary Medicine; RJ Price, DVM, Veterinary Biologic Staff, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA; WG Winkler, DVM, MS, CDC; and R Zehr, Veterinary Biologics Section, Animal Health Institute.

Animal Rabies Vaccine — Continued

Accidental Human Exposure to Vaccine: Accidental inoculation may occur in individuals during administration of animal rabies vaccine. Such exposures to inactivated vaccines constitute no known rabies hazard. There have been no cases of rabies resulting from needle or other exposure to a licensed modified live virus vaccine in the United States.

TABLE 1. Compendium of Animal Rabies Vaccines*: vaccines marketed in the United States, 1981

Vaccine: generic name	Produced by	Marketed by (product name)	For use	Dosaget	Age at primary vaccination ‡	Booster recommended
A) MODIFIED LIVE VIRUS						-
Canine Cell Line Origin	NORDEN	Norden		+	3 mos. &	
	License No. 189	(Endurall-R)	Dogs	1 ml	1 yr. later	Triennially
High Egg Passage, Flury			Cara	1	2	A
Strain			Cals	1 ml	3 months	Annually
			Dogs	1 m1	3 mos. &	Triennially
		!	Cattle	1 ml	4 months	Annually
Porcine Cell Line Origin	JENSEN-	Jensen-Salsbery	Horses	1 mi	4 months	Annually
	SALSBERY	(ERA Strain		_		
High Cell Passage, SAD Strain	License No 107	Rabies Vaccine)	Sheep Goats	1 ml	4 months 4 months	Annually Annually
					+	
Canine Tissue Culture Origin	PHILIPS ROXANE	Bio-Ceutic (Neurogen-TC)	Dogs	1 m1	3 mos &	Triennially
High Cell Passage, SAD Strain	License No. 124	(Neurogen 10)	ooga	1	y late	, inclinion,
Canine Tissue Culture Origin	PHILIPS	Bio-Ceutic		+	+	
Canine rissue Culture Origin	ROXANE	(Unitab)	Dogs	1 m	3 months	Annually
High Cell Passage, SAD Strain	License No 124		-			- /
Canine Tissue Culture Origin	PHILIPS	Pitman-Moore		t	3 mos. &	
Carme Hasae Canale Origin	ROXANE	(Rabvax)	Dogs	1 ml	1 yr. later	Triennially
High Cell Passage, SAD Strain	License No. 124				Ì	
Bovine Kidney Tissue Culture	PITMAN:	Pilman-Moore		†	 	
Origin	MOORE	(Rabies Vaccine)	Dogs	1 ml	3 months	Annually
High Cell Passage, SAD Strain	License No. 264					
B) INACTIVATED VACCINES						
Murine Origin	ROLYNN	FI. Dodge			3 mos. &	
	License No. 266	(Trimune)	Dogs	1 ml	1 yr. later	Triennially
			Cats	1 ml	3 months	Annually
Murine Origin	ROLYNN	Ft Dodge	Dogs	1 mi	3 months	Annually
	License No. 266	(Annumune)	Cats	1 ml	3 months	Annually
Murine Origin	DOUGLAS	Douglas	Dogs		3 months	Annually
	License No. 266	(SMBV)	Cals	1 ml	3 months	Annually
Murine Origin §	DOUGLAS	Douglas	Cats	1 ml	3 months	Annually
	License No. 266	(Pan-Rab)	0.00	1	5	Annaany
Hamster Cell Line Origin	BEECHAM	Beecham	Dogs	1 ml	3 months	Annually
	License No. 225	(Rabcine)				
High Cell Passage, Kissling Strain			Cals	1 mi	3 months	Annually
Hamster Ceil Line Origin	BEECHAM	Beecham		 		
	License No. 225	(Rabcine Feline)	Cats	1 ml	3 months	Annually
High Cell Passage, Kissling Strain						
Hamster Cell Line Origin	VACCINES, INC.	Guardian	Dogs	1 ml	3 months	Annually
	License No 227	(Rabies Vacc.)	-			
Hamster Cell Line Origin	VACCINES, INC.	Vaccines	Dogs	1 ml	3 months	Annually
	License No 227	Specialties	-	1		
		(Del-Rab-1)		ļ	ļ	
Hamster Cell Line Origin	VACCINES, INC	Ceva	Dogs	1 mi	3 months	Annually
	License No. 227	(CE-RAB)				
Porcine Cell Line Origin	NORDEN License No. 189	Norden (Endurali-K)	Dogs	1 mi	3 months	Annually
	Fireings MO 183	(Enduran-K)	Cats	1 ml	3 months	Annually

^{*}Prepared by the National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, Inc., P.O. Box 13528, Baltimore, Maryland 21203.

[†]All vaccine must be administered intramuscularly at 1 site in the thigh.

[‡]Three months is the earliest age recommended. Dogs vaccinated between 3-12 months should be revaccinated 1 year later.

[§] Combination vaccine.

Animal Rabies Vaccine - Continued

Implementation of Compendium: In order to implement a more meaningful and manageable program of rabies vaccination for dogs and cats in the United States, the NASPHV recommends that all government agencies promptly adopt the following standard certificate and tag system. This will aid the administration of local, state, national, and international procedures. Veterinary practitioners and rabies-control authorities are encouraged to specify these standardized tags and certificates when rabies vaccine is ordered. Standardized tags can help a bite victim identify the vaccination status of an animal that cannot be apprehended. Dog license tags should not conflict in shape and color with rabies tags. The schedule for shapes and colors will be repeated, beginning in 1985. It is suggested that 2-hole attachments be provided in tags of .064-inch thickness or greater. Committee recommendations for tag colors and shapes by year are given in Table 2.

Four-inch by six-inch printer-ready proofs and samples of the rabies certificate are available from NASPHV and state public health veterinarians. It is recommended that (as of January 1, 1981) veterinarians and government agencies use the NASPHV form #50, Rabies Vaccination Certificate exclusively (Figure 1). Vaccine manufacturers are expected to supply this form exclusively along with shipments of rabies vaccine.

The exclusive use of this certificate will allow for implementation of effective nation-

TABLE 2. NASPHV-recommended rabies tag colors and shapes, by year

Calendar year	Color	Shape	
1981	Blue	Rosette	
1982	Orange	Fireplug	
1983	Green	Bell	
1984	Red	Heart	

FIGURE 1. Rabies Vaccination Certificate

Owner's Na	me & Address		<i>PHV Form #50</i> ball point pen or t	ype	Rabies T	ag Number	
PRINT La	st		First	M.1.	Telephone		
Na.	Street		City		State	Zip	
Species: Dog Cat Name	Sex: Male Female	- 1110 10 12 1110	Size. Under 20 lbs. 20-50 lbs. Over 50 lbs.	Predominant Bree	ed:	Colors	
	(First 3 le		Lic./Vacc.	Other V	acc. Serial	(lot) No.	
License No.	ng Agency Use Year 19	DATE VACCI	NATED:	Veterinarian's: #_ L	icense No.		
	70			Signature	,		
Other		VACCINATION	EXPIRES:	Address			
		Manth	19				

Animal Rabies Vaccine - Continued

wide rabies-control procedures; eliminate the cost for government agencies which print, process, and distribute vaccination forms; and provide a form designed for use until 1986.

To facilitate international travel, forms printed in other than the English language must remain unchanged except for the translation. Biologic manufacturers may request printer-ready proofs of the form and may exhaust existing supplies of the NASPHV form #50 as reproduced in the 1980 Rabies Compendium.

Reported by NASPHV in the CDC Veterinary Public Health Notes, Jan 1981.

Survey of Viral Hepatitis Surveillance Activities in State and Local Health Departments

In June 1980, CDC's Consolidated Surveillance and Communications Activity (CSCA) conducted a sample survey of health jurisdictions (generally county health departments) to obtain descriptions of their hepatitis surveillance and control activities. A total of 89 health agencies were surveyed, including 80 local health departments (40 small, 40 large*) and 9 state health departments.

TABLE I. Summary — cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States [Cumulative totals include revised and delayed reports through previous weeks.]

	14th W	EEK ENDING		CUMU	LATIVE, FIRST 14	WEEKS
DISEASE	April 11 1981	April 5 1980	MEDIAN 1976-1980	April 11 1981	April 5 1980	MEDIAN 1976-1980
Aseptic meningitis	56	45	29	872	880	503
Brucellosis	4	2	- 1	25	44	44
Chickenpox	8,643	7,207	6,873	84.903	76,544	81.056
Diphtheria	-	_	3	3	1	23
Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne & unspec.)	15	11	11	201	160	159
Post-infectious	3	4	4	22	43	4
Hepatitis, Viral: Type B	385	308	308	4,949	4.278	4.003
Type A	504	535	598	6,685	7.342	7.994
Type unspecified	230	266	191	3.009	2.943	2.489
Malaria	26	20	11	320	380	106
Measles (rubeola)	82	563	862	774	3.857	7.751
Meningococcal infections: Total	93	81	54	1.338	931	772
Civilian	93	81	54	1.335	922	764
Military	_	_	_	3	9	
Mumps	83	266	504	1.488	3.922	5.977
Pertussis	29	12	18	277	274	294
Rubella (German measles)	74	128	446	754	1.324	3,881
Tetanus	_	-	1	12	11	10
Tuberculosis	538	484	556	6.749	6.582	7.12
Tularemia	3	-	i	25	23	23
Typhoid fever	17	1	5	132	77	94
Typhus fever, tick-borne (Rky. Mt. spotted)	4	i	2	18	ii	14
Venereal diseases:						_
Gonorrhea: Civilian	17,392	15,261	18.791	257.019	252,515	252,515
Military	712	513	513	7.621	7,323	7,323
Syphilis, primary & secondary: Civilian	606	427	423	8.040	7.027	6.601
Military	2	4	4	96	100	85
Rabies in animals	185	132	76	1.690	1.414	694

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

	CUM. 1981		CUM. 1981
Anthrax		Poliomyelitis: Total	-
Botulism (Calif. 1)	14	Paralytic	l -
Cholera	_	Psittacosis (Mass. 1)	19
Congenital rubella syndrome (Calif. 2)	4	Rabies in man	-
Leprosy(Minn. 1, Tex. 1, Calif. 3, Hawaii 3)	55	Trichinosis (N.H. 1, Conn. 1, N.J. 1, Va. 1)	60
Leptospirosis	13	Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) (Tex. 1)	3
Plague	1 1		1

^{*}Large jurisdictions contained 1 of the 2 largest cities in a state; small jurisdictions were randomly selected from all remaining ones.

(Continued on page 169)

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 11, 1981 and April 5, 1980 (14th week)

	ASEPTIC	BRU-	CHICKEN-			E	NCEPHALI	TIS	HEPATI	HEPATITIS (VIRAL), BY TYPE				
REPORTING AREA	MENIN- GITIS	CEL- LOSIS	POX	DIPHT	HERIA	Pri	mary	Post-in- fectious	8	А	Unspecified	MAL	ARIA	
	1981	1981	1981	1981	CUM. 1981	1981	1980	1981	1981	1981	1981	1981	CUM. 1981	
UNITED STATES	56	4	8,643		3	15	11	3	385	504	230	26	32	
NEW ENGLAND		2	742	~	-	-	_	20	10	7	8	_	1	
Maine		- 5	171	*	-	-	-	-	(-		1	-		
N.H.	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		
Vt. Mass.	-	-	51	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	0+	-		
R.I.	-		189 81	2	Ξ	3	-	2)	1	2	5	-	1	
Conn.	=	-	248	-	-		-	-	8	2 3	2	-		
MID. ATLANTIC	5	10-	506	-	1.0	1	4	-0	59	40	15	4	2	
Upstate N.Y.	1	-	225	-	-	1	2	-	22	21	5	1		
N.Y. City N.J	1	-	148	-		-	-	-	26	6	5	1	1	
Pa.	2	-	NN 133	ž.	Ξ	2	1	Ē	NA 11	NA 13	NA 5	2		
.N. CENTRAL	5	-												
Ohio	2		4.050 606		-	2	1	-	50	61 25	28 8	1		
nd.	-	- 5	428	<u> </u>	-	1	-	2	16 4	6	9	1 -		
II.	-	-	441	-	-	-	-	-	8	15	7	_		
Aich.	3	_	1,904	-	-	1	1	-	19	14	6	_		
Vis.	-	771	671	=		2	-	***	3	1	1	•		
V.N. CENTRAL	6		921	*	-	=	•	1	14	15	8	•	1	
ninn. Owa	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-		
No.	-		430		-	1	-		1	5	2 5	=		
N. Dak.	•	-	33	-	-	2	-		3	5	: = :	-		
i. Dak.	-		43	2	-	-	-	Ξ.	-					
lebr.	200	_	45	-		-	-	- E	3	-	-	=		
Cans.	-	-	369	-	-	-	-	1	6	2	1	-		
ATLANTIC	11	-	1.290	-	1	3	-	1	79	52	21	5	3	
Ad.	_	-	10	-	-	_	_	_	-	1	2	-		
D.C.	Ξ	_	72 3	_	_	_	_	_	9 1		4	-		
/a.	1	=	118	-	-	1	=		10	1 5	6	_		
V. Va.		_	297	_	_		_	_	3		_	_		
V.C.	-	_	NN	-	-	1	_	-	6	3	1	_		
i.C. Ga.	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	8	2	1	-		
ia. Ia.	1 9	_	20 757	-	- 1	- 1	= =	- 1	27 15	15 25	7	5	1	
E.S. CENTRAL							_							
Ky.	7 2	Ξ	133	_	=	1 -	1	=	8	25	3	_		
r _{enn.}	2	_	55 NN	_	_	_	Ξ	_ =	2 6	6 14	2 1	_		
Ala.	2	_	74	_	_	1	1	_	_	1	<u>.</u>	_		
Miss.	1	-	4	-	-	-	=	-	-	4	-	-		
V.S. CENTRAL	8	1	569	-	-	3	_	_	40	83	62	3	2	
-a.	1	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	-		
okla.	3	-	NN	-	-	-	-	-	12	18	12	-		
ex.	1 3	- 1	555	-	=	1 2	_	Ξ	2 22	6 55	8 4 2	- 3	1	
MOUNTAIN	2	_	94	_	1	2	1	_	19	28	21	_		
nont	-	_	7		ì	_	1	_	-	-		_		
daho	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	2	2	_	_		
Vya. Cola.	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	_		
l. Mex.	1	-	72	-	-	1	-	-	-	1		-		
viz.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	6	1	-		
tah	1 -	-	NN	-	-	-	-	-	7	13	13	-		
ev.	-	=	3 19	-	-	ī	-	-	8	6	6	-		
ACIFIC	12	3	338	_	1	3	4	1	106	193	64	13	19	
Vash. Oreg	4		262	_	-	_	2	-	4	20	3	1	19	
reg. alif.	-	-	3	-	-	-	ī	1	6	10	2	i	•	
anr. Jaska	8	3	-	-	_	2	ī	-	95	161	58	ıî	17	
awaii	Ξ	_	20 53	=	1 -	- 1	-	=	1	1	ī	-		
						•			•	•		_		
Suam -R.	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	NA	-	_	NA	NA	NA	NA		
/.i	-	-	47	-	-	-	-	-	2	9	1	-		
ac. Trust Terr.		-	20		-		-	-		-	-			
	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	NA	-	_	NA	NA	N A	NA		

NN: Not notifiable. NA: Not available.

All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 11, 1981 and April 5, 1980 (14th week)

REPORTING AREA	M	EASLES (RU	BEOLA)	MENIN	GOCOCCAL INI TOTAL	FECTIONS		MUMPS	PERTUSSIS	RUB	ELLA	TETANU
HEPUHIING AHEA	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1980	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1980	1981	CUM. 1981	1981	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1981
UNITED STATES	82	774	3.857	93	1,338	931	83	1,488	29	74	754	12
NEW ENGLAND	0+3	26	318	5	86	53	8	72	1	8	73	-
Maine	-	2	4	-	12	2	1	15	-	-	31	-
N.H.	_	3	166	1 2	6	6	-	8 2	_	_	14	Ξ
Vt. Mass.	_	16	132 11	í	22	16	1	23	_	7	23	_
R.I.	-	-	2	-	7	5	1	10	1	-	-	-
Conn.	-	4	3	1	35	20	5	14	-	1	5	-
MID. ATLANTIC	36 9	265 159	922 242	19 9	160 56	149 54	7 3	180 34	4	14	106 36	1
N.Y. City	3	26	287	4	19	43	2	27	_	4	21	1
N.J.	2	19	171	2	43	31	2	54	1	4	34	-
Pa.	22	61	222	4	42	21	-	65	2	2	15	-
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	_	44 13	519 53	6	150 50	111 42	29 2	430 56	2 1	6	154	1
Ind.	_	3	30	1	19	16	7	60	-	1	51	-
III.	-	6	129	3	43	17	2	76	-	-	42	-
Mich.	-	22	123	2	34	28	11	178	1	4	22 39	1
Wis.	-	-	184		4	8	7	60		1		
W.N. CENTRAL	_	4	483 329	3 1	53 22	40 11		123 3	_	2	35 5	2 1
Minn. Iowa	_	i	1	i	12	5	1 3	31	_	_		
Ma.	-	-	58	-	12	17	_	21	_	1	2	1
N. Dak.	-	=	-	_	1	1	-		-	_	_	-
S. Dak. Nebr.	Ξ	ī	50	_	1_	2	_	1	_	=	1	-
Kans.	-	ī	45	1	5	4	1	64	-	1	27	-
S. ATLANTIC	13	208	837	24	352	233	8	205	2	7	78	1
Del.	_	1	1 21	3	4 17	2	3	3	_	_	_	=
Md. D.C.	_		- 21	-	17	23	-	39	_	=	_	=
Va.	-	2	151	3	42	17	-	50	-	-	8	-
W. Va.	_	7	4	1	16	6	4	39	-	-	14	_
N.C. S.C.	_	_	36 106	6	53 48	43 30	-	4 5	1 -	1	4	1
Ga.	1	75	344	4	58	52	_	18	1	1	19	-
Fla.	12	123	174	3	113	60	1	47	-	5	29	-
E.S. CENTRAL	-	-	102	15	108	88	1	46	4	-	16	1
Kγ.	-	_	31	6	36	24	-	17	4	-	10	_
Tenn. Ala.		_	5 15	4 5	31 31	21 26	1_	17 11	_	_	6	1
Miss.	-	-	51	_	10	17		î	-	-	-	-
W.S. CENTRAL	15	72	309	6	234	96	8	88	2	4	51	2
Ark. La.	-		7	1	18 49	5 31	-	3	ī	Ξ	- 6	1
Ca. Okla.		3	217	_	18	8	_	-	<u> </u>	Ξ	-	_
Tex.	15	69	78	5	149	52	8	85	1	4	45	1
MOUNTAIN	1	15	79	2	45	35	8	51	-	4	27	1
Mont. Idaho	_	_	1 -	1	2	1 3	_ 2	3	_	Ξ	1 -	Ξ
Myo.		_		-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	_
Cala.	-	3	3	1	20	9	3	24	Ξ	-	16	-
N, Mex. Ariz,	1	2	3 38	Ξ	11	6 5	2	9	_	1 3	1 4	1
Arız. Utah	-	-	32	-	3	ì	_	5	_		3	- 1
Nev.	-	10	2	-	2	9	1	6	-	-	ī	-
PACIFIC	17	140	288	13	150	126	9	293	14	29	214	3
Wash. Oreg.	_	1	107	2	32 15	17 27	2	87 37	6	11	43 15	_
Calif.	17	139	173	9	96	80	5	157	8	18	156	3
Alaska Hawaii	_	-	5	-	3	2	(<u>*</u>	3	-	-	-	ĺ
			,	_	~	-		•	-	-	_	_
Guam	NA	1	2	-	-	_	NA	1	ŊA	NA	-	-
P.R.	14	102	37	-	3	5	10	45	-	2	3	-
V.I. Pac. Trust Terr.	1 NA	3	4	-	-	1	NA	Z L	N A	NA	- 1	-
rau. IIuat lett.							па	k	HA	MA	1	

NA: Not available.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 11, 1981 and April 5, 1980 (14th week)

	TURE	RCULOSIS	TULA		ного	TYPHUS (Tick-b	FEVER		VENERE	AL DISEASES (C	livilian)			RABIES
REPORTING AREA	TUBE		REMIA	FEVER		(Tick-b			GONORRHEA		SYP	HIL1S (Pri. 8	Sec.)	Animals)
	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1981	1981	CUM. 1981	1981	CUM. 1981	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1980	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1980	CUM. 1981
UNITED STATES	538	6,749	25	17	132	4	18	17.392	257.019	252,515	606	8.040	7,027	1.69
NEW ENGLAND	12	182	-	-	7	-	-	387	6.382	6,591	13	186	150	
Maine N.H.	_	18	_	-	-	-	-	31	322	409	-	1	1	
Vt.	_	2	Ξ	-	-	_	=	11	232	238	-	. 7	1	
Mass.	8	103		-	-	_	Ξ	158	104 2,597	183	4	10	1	
R.I.	2	111	_	_	-	_	_	26	310	2,649 390	8	110 13	82	
Conn.	2	41	-	_	1	-	=	157	2,817	2,722	1	45	56	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	102	1,160	3	1	25	=	3	2.342	30.232	27.048	89	1,229	968	
N.Y. City	41	505	3	1	17	_	1 2	422 850	4.826 11.875	4,736	56	99 775	78 624	
N.J.	i	185	_		2	_	_	607	6,333	4,484	14	143	126	
Pa.	23	273	-	-	2	-	-	463	7,198	6,891	19	212	140	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	72	880	1	2	7	-	1	2,245	38,927	40,870	5	456	690	
Ind	11	159	-	-	-	-	1	987	15,133	10.573	1	73	109	
III.	30	35 383	-	-	4	Ξ	-	272 237	3,363	4,029	3	35 216	66 370	
Mich.	24	256	ī	2	2	-	-	502	8.217 8,671	13,220 8,893	-	103	115	
Wis.	7	47	-	-	1	=	-	247	3,543	4,155	1	29	30	
W.N. CENTRAL	16	222	3	-	2	-	1	835	12,299	11,009	8	138	79	
Minn. Iowa	2	36	-	-	1	-	-	118	1.999	1.988	5	52	29	
Mo.	3	33	-	-	-	-	-	151	1,286	1,209	-	8	6	
N. Dak.	8	91 8	3	-	_	-	1	419	5,605	4,528	3	67	42	10
S. Dak.	_	17	-	-	1	-	-	15 23	165 328	149 348	-	1		
Nebr.	_	* 7	-	_	-	_	-	42	877	920	-	3	1	
Kans.	3	30	-	-	-	-	-	67	2.039	1,867	-	7	i	
S ATLANTIC	139	1,538	5	6	20	-	4	4.437	64,645	61.812	186	2,143	1,717	
Del. Md.	1	20	1	-	-	-	-	62	962	862	2	5	5	
D.C.	15	152	_	1	6	-	-	415	6.840	6,414	14	169	131 125	
Va.	11 26	91 185	Ξ	-	1	-	-	276 410	4,270 6,050	4,600 5,128	16 12	189 198	149	
W. Va.	4	53		_	3	-	- 2	93	959	861	2	6		
N.C.	27	290	1	-	í	-	4	543	10,286	9.567	19	172	129	
S.C.	12	133	Ž	-	-	-	-	486	5,882	5,786	12	146	83	
Ga. Fla.	11	227	1	-	-	-	-	772	12.835	11,106	40	548	499	
	32	387	-	5	8	~	-	1.380	16.561	17,488	69	710	592	2
E.S. CENTRAL	24	576	2	-	4	-	3	1,164	21,230	19,966	43	558	563	
ĸy.	7	149	2	-	-	-	1	213	2,770	2,945	1	22	33	
Tann. Ala.	11	195	-	-	1	-	1	441	7,698	7,188	19	220	226	
Miss.	3	167 65	_	-	1	-	ī	253 257	6,912 3.850	5,557 4,276	11 12	154 162	113	
W.S. CENTRAL	52	616	4	2	12	3	5	2,178	35,576	32,880	161	1,933	1.349	34
Ark.	9	63		-	-	-	í	144	2.209	2,434	2	38	51	
La.	4	121	2	-	-	-	-	393	5,651	5,486	34	412	310	1
Okla. Tex.	9	81	1	-	3	2	2	235	3,553	3,201	5	49	18	5
	30	351	1	2	9	1	2	1,406	24.163	21.759	120	1,434	970	
MOUNTAIN Mont.	18	191 18	6	-	8	1	1	891 25	10,716 379	9,721 369	4	205	170	3
Idaho	-	5	2	-	-	1	1	25	416	472	_	2	5	
Wya.	_	2	-		-	-	-	23	232	272	_	2	ī	
Colo.	-	11	2	-	2	-	-	199	2,736	2,482	4	69	46	1
N. Mex. Ariz.	1	42	-	-	7	-	-	66	1.221	1,298	-	40	26	
Utah	9	79	-	-	2	-	-	289	3,524	2,679	-	44	62	
Nev.	5	14 20	1	-	Ĭ	-	-	31 233	481 1,727	476 1.673	_	3 41	19	
PACIFIC	103	1,384	1	6	47	-	_	2,913	37,012	42,618	97	1,192	1,341	17
Wash.	6	106	-	2	2	-	-	269	3,282	3,483		23	78	
Oreg. Calif.	5	49		-	2		-	153	2,766	3,080	1	28	33	
Alaska	81	1,179	1	4	41	-	-	2.371	29.138	34,133	94	1,111	1,181	
Hawaii	11	15 35	Ξ	-	2	-	-	76 44	1.023	1,001	- 2	26	46	
					ñ.					721	-	20	40	
Guam P.R.	N A	2.5	-	NA	8	NA	-	NA	14	29	NA	-	-	
V.I.	16	20	-	-	3	-	-	58	909	710	18	201	156	
Pac. Trust Terr.	NA	17	-	NA	1	NA.	-	NA.	24 8.2	113	NA.	-	- 3	

NA: Not available.

All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending April 11, 1981 (14th week)

		ALL CAUS	ES, BY AGE	(YEARS)					ALL CAUS	SES, BY AGI	(YEARS)		
REPORTING AREA	ALL AGES	>65	45-64	25-44	<1	P&I** TOTAL	REPORTING AREA	ALL AGES	>65	45-64	25 44	<1	P&I** TOTAL
NEW ENGLAND	621	420	151	24	11	40	S. ATLANTIC	1,128	709	253	74	44	6
Boston, Mass.	160	90	51	8	5	20	Atlanta, Ga.	161	94	38	18	5	
Bridgeport, Conn.	41	28	7	3	1	-	Baltimore, Md	94		25	2	1	
Cambridge, Mass	20 34	12 24	7	1	_	2	Charlotte, N.C.	74 91	44 55	21 24	2 6	6 3	
Fall River, Mass. Hartford, Conn.	67	47	14	2	3	2	Jacksonville, Fla. Miami, Fla.	114		28	11	3	
Lowell, Mass.	27	20	-6	ĩ	_	2	Norfolk, Va.	60		19	2	3	
Lynn, Mass.	17	12	5	_	-	-	Richmond, Va.	88	52	21	8	_	
New Bedford, Mass.	24	18	6	-	-	1	Savannah, Ga.	57	28	15	7	4	
Vew Haven, Conn.	38	30	5	2	-	1	St. Petersburg, Fla.	100		8	1	-	1
Providence, R.I.	45	31	12	1	1	2	Tampa, Fla.	70	48	13	2	. 5	
Somerville, Mass.	8 48	7 32	12	1	ī	- 3	Washington, D.C.	180 39	112 28	33 8	14	13	
Springfield, Mass. Naterbury, Conn.	33	23	9	1	-	2	Wilmington, Del.	37	20	۰	1	1	
Vorcester, Mass.	59	46	10	2	_	5							
							E.S. CENTRAL	776	463	207	54	30	1
MID. ATLANTIC	2. 421	1,591	544	154	69	92	Birmingham, Ala.	138 56	75 32	47 15	8 8	6	
Albany, N.Y.	61	45	12	3	1	1	Chattanooga, Tenn. Knoxville, Tenn.	59	38	12	4	3	
Allentown, Pa.	32	25	12	2	-	i	Louisville, Ky.	130	75	41	6	7	
Suffalo, N.Y.	84	49	24	5	3	-	Memphis, Tenn.	184	115	41	11	8	
Camden, N.J.	35	19	9	3	4	3	Mobile, Ala.	49	24	12	7	2	
lizabeth, N.J.	34	23	6	-	5	1	Montgomery, Ala.	39	28	7	2	-	
Erie, Pa.†	40	32	6	2	-	1	Nashville, Tenn.	121	76	32	8	4	
lersey City, N.J.	31	24	5	7	1	1							
Vewark, N.J. N.Y. City, N.Y.	72 1.339	25 897	24 289	87	9 32	6 46		1.119	661	266	89		
aterson, N.J.	36	17	8	8	1	2	W.S. CENTRAL	56	39	10	3	46	
hiladelphia, Pa. t	207	131	56	9	7	11	Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La.	46	26	14	2	ž	
ittsburgh, Pa. f	55	36	15	3	_	ī	Corpus Christi, Tex.	45	24	8	3	6	
Reading, Pa.	37	27	6	3	1	4	Dallas, Tex.	199	116	49	17	8	
Rochester, N.Y.	118	77	30	6	-	3	El Paso, Tex.	61	35	8	6	5	
Schenectady, N.Y.	29	21	7	-	-	1	Fort Worth, Tex.	88	50	22	11	2	
Scranton, Pa.1 Syracuse, N.Y.	28 92	24 58	3 20	1	-	3	Houston, Tex.	176	87	56	19	5	
Frenton, N.J.	42	22	12	9	4	2 1	Little Rock, Ark.	64 111	42 70	15 24	1 7	1	
Jtica, N.Y.	22	17	4	ĭ	-	3	New Orleans, La. San Antonio, Tex.	139	89	30	á	3	
onkers, N.Y.	27	22	3	i	-	í	Shreveport, La.	41 93	22 61	9 21	6	1 2	
							Tulsa, Okla.	7.3	01	2.		-	
.N. CENTRAL		1,339	602	131	91	80						_	
Akron, Ohio	63 46	35 29	19 14	4	4	l 6	MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N. Mex	610	373 25	136 15	49 7	9	
anton, Ohio hicago, III.	514	278	148	45	26	11	Colo. Springs, Colo.	27	18	5	3	i	
incinnati, Ohio	142	92	35	8	3	14	Denver, Colo.	128	83	25	14	i	
leveland, Ohio	138	87	36	6	7	2	Las Vegas, Nev.	78	44	21	6	3	
columbus, Ohio	133	79	39	7	6	2	Ogden, Utah	31	22	7	1	-	
Dayton, Ohio	96	51	34	4	1	1	Phoenix, Ariz.	1 39	90	35	8	1	
Detroit, Mich.	282	161	83	19	14	8	Pueblo, Colo.	22	14	3	3	-	
vansville, Ind.	46 57	31 42	11 11	2	l	3	Salt Lake City, Utah	39	24	6	4	2	
ort Wayne, Ind.	22	11	11	1 2	2	4	Tucson, Ariz.	75	53	19	3	-	
iary, Ind. irand Rapids, Mich.	53	36	12	4	1	2							
ndianapolis, Ind.	158	85	46	ă	i	3	PACIFIC	1.737	1,125	374	116	63	
ladison, Wis.	40	27	5	3	3	5	Berkeley, Calif.	18	9	7	1		
lilwaukee, Wis.	119	77	33	3	4	6	Fresno, Calif.	82	50	19	6	5	
eoria, III.	36	18	8	2	2	4	Glandale, Calif.	23	19	2	1	-	
lockford, III.	39	25	8	3	3		Honolulu, Hawaii	46	24	16	3	3	
outh Bend, Ind. aledo, Ohio	27 148	21 97	- 4 - 38	1		2	Long Beach, Calif.	82	47	23	3	. 4	
oungstown, Ohio	77	57	12	6 3	4	4	Los Angeles, Calif.	534 53	340 32	118 11	44	15	
cangatown, Omo			•••		•	i	Oakland, Calif. Pasadena, Calif.	26	19	3	2	-	
.N. CENTRAL	663	438	142	40	26	26	Portland, Oreg.	132 56	90 37	22 12	7	9	
es Moines, Iowa	52	39	8	3	-	-	Sacramento, Calif. San Diego, Calif.	110	72	16	11	3	
Juluth, Minn.	18	10	5	3	_	1	San Francisco, Calif.	174	111	43	10	6	
ansas City, Kans	42	28	9	4	1	4	San Jose, Calif.	173	115	38	7	5	
Cansas City, Mo.	30	21	5	1	2	1	Seattle, Wash.	129	90	24	7	5	
incoln, Nebr.	31	23	8	-	-	2	Spokane, Wash.	49	31	13	3	-	
linneapolis, Minn	78	47	17	6	4	2	Tacoma, Wash.	50	39	7	1	3	
Omaha, Nebr.	105 173	65 103	27	4	.7	2	}						
t. Louis, Mo. t. Paul, Minn.	70	51	44 10	10	10 1	8 2	TOTAL	11.311	7 110	2 635	721	300	4
richita, Kans.	64	51	9	2	1	4	TOTAL	11.311	1.113	4,0/5	731	389	4

^{*}Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

^{**}Pneumonia and influenza

[†]Because of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

Viral Hepatitis - Continued

Nearly all health departments surveyed (96%) require reporting of hepatitis cases. In most local health departments (93%), detailed surveillance data are collected and forwarded to state offices. Private physicians, public hospitals, and private hospital laboratories are the most important sources of hepatitis case reports, usually via telephone and mail reports. The CDC Viral Hepatitis Case Record is used by almost half of the respondents as at least 1 of their data-collection forms.

The most widely accepted case criterion for hepatitis is a physician's report supported by clinical and/or laboratory data (89%). Many health departments (65%) accept a physician's report without clinical or laboratory data, and about half of the departments accept a public health nurse's report supported by clinical or laboratory data. Sixty percent of the health departments judge that hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) testing is essential for classification of clinical hepatitis into A, B, or non-A, non-B. However, only 20% insure that all cases are tested for HBsAg. Most state laboratories can measure for HBsAg.

More than half the health departments assign the task of collecting and reporting hepatitis surveillance data to a nurse. Occasionally a member of the clerical staff (22%), a nonphysician epidemiologist (8%), or a physician (5%) is given the responsibility.

Nearly 85% of the health departments routinely follow up case reports of hepatitis; however, 10%-15% only investigate "interesting cases" or clusters of cases. The distribution of staff assigned to case follow-up is similar to that for surveillance activities; a public health nurse assumes that responsibility in about 50% of the jurisdictions surveyed. Routine investigation of hepatitis reports usually includes contacting the attending physicians to confirm the diagnoses and contacting the individual patients to educate them about hepatitis and/or to identify their contacts at risk. About 80% of respondents make immune serum globulin available in their jurisdictions, generally at no charge, or for a fee of less than \$5.00.

The reported number of local hepatitis cases is perceived to have a significant impact on local programs and policy. The greatest impact of reporting is on disease control and surveillance efforts, but it is also important in the determination of staff assignment and program assessment. National hepatitis surveillance data are perceived to have much less impact upon local programs.

Almost 50% of the local health departments routinely compare local hepatitis reports with previous county, regional, or national data. The publications considered to be most important for their impact on local hepatitis programs are each state's health department guidelines (38%), the MMWR (29%), and The Control of Communicable Diseases in Man* (23%). Most departments are familiar with the MMWR (81%) and the CDC Hepatitis Surveillance Report (74%), although only 6% of the jurisdictions ranked the latter report as having the greatest impact. Comparison of responses from large and small jurisdictions revealed no appreciable differences in this respect.

Reported by the Conference of State and Territorial Epidemiologists; Hepatitis Laboratories Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, Consolidated Surveillance and Communications Activity, Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.

Editorial Note: Many public-health resources are used in the surveillance and control of hepatitis. Surveillance of viral hepatitis has resulted in the identification or confirmation of sources of infection ranging from blood transfusions to ear piercing and tatooing.

^{*}Benenson AS, ed. Control of communicable diseases in man. 12th ed. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association, 1975.

Viral Hepatitis - Continued

In recent years, surveillance has shown that other locations or activities are associated with infection, such as day-care centers, sexual contact, and acupuncture. Ultimately, this surveillance relies upon activity at the local level.

This survey indicates that hepatitis case surveillance and investigation are accorded high priority by local health departments. Increasing use of the new standardized case-report forms and of uniform case definitions will make possible more reliable comparisons of hepatitis surveillance data gathered in different jurisdictions. The reported lack of reliance on national surveillance may reflect the fact that modalities for control have been limited, and local efforts have been directed at education, immunoglobulin administration, and case counting. With the introduction of vaccines for hepatitis control, surveillance will assume increased importance for budget allocations at all levels—local, state, and federal. As has been the case for other vaccine-preventable diseases, such surveillance will reflect the interdependence of local, state, and national disease surveillance and control programs.

Volcano Monitoring Program — Mount St. Helens

With the reawakening of Mount St. Helens, volcanic activity has become recognized as a major public health hazard in the western United States. Before May 18, 1980, when Mount St. Helens erupted with such force, the danger was perceived as remote, despite warnings by some geologists and others. Local planning is now necessary not only for future activity of Mount St. Helens but also for other volcanoes in the Cascade Range.

Twenty-four-hour monitoring of the volcano's activity is being undertaken at the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Center in Vancouver, Washington, which also has U.S. Forest Service staff. Information on the status of the volcano is received from 6 main sources: 1) seismographs operated 24 hours a day in Vancouver and in the Department of Geophysics, University of Washington, Seattle (there is a 24-hour telephone hot-line between the 2 locations); 2) deformation studies involving measurements of the size of the crater and the volcano's flanks; 3) direct geologic observations (e.g., rock falls and changes in the dome); 4) measurement of type and quantity of gaseous emissions; 5) observations from a fixed-wing, U.S. Forest Service plane that is kept in the air throughout daylight hours and can also rapidly be made airborne at night; 6) other studies to provide background information (e.g., geologic studies of previous eruptions of Mount St. Helens).

At least once a day the volcano's status is assessed by the Hazards Coordinator in collaboration with the Director of the USGS Center. Should there be a change in the volcano's status, the Hazards Coordinator, who is on 24-hour call, is contacted immediately. Depending on his findings and interpretation, he can release an alert or warning that an eruption may be forthcoming or an advisory stating that increased seismic activity is occurring. The U.S. Forest Service will transmit this information to the appropriate emergency groups through a call-down system that, in an emergency, takes approximately 10 minutes to complete. During the day, people working in danger zones are also notified by radio so that they can evacuate.

The most important tool for providing warning of an impending eruption is the seismic trace. However, seismic readings have to be interpreted along with other sources of information, such as increasing deformation of the dome over a period of days pre-

Volcano Monitoring Program — Continued

ceding an increase in seismic activity. It may take several hours before a seismic trace develops sufficiently for a warning to be given with assurance. So far, it appears possible to give about 4 hours' warning, but this is based on the experience from 3 of 4 recent eruptions of Mount St. Helens. Not enough is known to be certain that sufficient warning can be given before all eruptions to allow time to evacuate people from hazardous areas around the mountain.

Within about 10 minutes of the beginning of a major eruption, it should be possible to advise that the eruption is actually occurring. At night or when visibility is otherwise poor, this information comes from the seismograph and from radar stations in Portland and Seattle. The size and direction of the plume can be inferred from radar observations, which would be used to warn aircraft in the area. The height, density, and breadth of the plume can be distinguished to warn of at least a massive eruption capable of producing disruptive, heavy ashfalls. Such a warning can theoretically be transmitted to cities 50 or more miles away before the plume reaches them; meteorologists on call can rapidly make predictions from wind and jetstream directions for cities farther away.

Reported by the Chronic Diseases Div, Center for Environmental Health, and the Div of Respiratory Disease Studies, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, CDC, in the CDC—Mount St. Helens Volcano Health Report #23, 23 Feb 1981.

Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

Outbreak of Illness due to Clostridium perfringens - California

On January 14, 1981, health department officials were notified of a foodborne outbreak associated with a "Meals on Wheels" program for senior citizens in Victorville, California. Persons who became ill had had dinner delivered to their homes between 11:00 AM and 12:30 PM on January 13. Thirty-nine of the 41 persons who had received the suspected meals were interviewed. Twenty-three (59%) had symptoms, including diarrhea (91%), abdominal cramps (74%), nausea (35%), vomiting (22%), fever (9%), and headache (4%). The mean incubation period was 8.7 hours, with a range of 1-16 hours. Two patients required hospitalization. All patients recovered within 6 days, with the median duration of illness being 12 hours.

Chicken was implicated as the vehicle of transmission in terms of food-specific attack rates (p=0.037, Fisher exact test, 2-tailed). Food handlers for the catering service reported thinking that the chicken might be spoiled because of its unpleasant odor during heating. An attempt was then made to recall the catering trucks, but 41 of the suspected meals had already been delivered. Further questioning revealed that the chicken had

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, circulation 110,000, is published by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia. The data in this report are provisional, based on weakly telegraphs to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday.

The editor welcomes accounts of interesting cases, outbreaks, environmental hazards, or other public health problems of current interest to health officials. Send reports to: Attn: Editor, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

Send mailing list additions, deletions and address changes to: Attn: Distribution Services, Management Analysis and Services Office, 1-SB-419, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia-30333. When requesting changes be sure to give your former address, including zip code and mailing list code number, or send an old address label.

Clostridium perfringens - Continued

been cooked 6 days earlier, frozen, thawed on January 11, held refrigerated, and reheated on a steam table on January 13. The manner in which the chicken had been prepared and the timing of the onset of symptoms led investigators to suspect *Clostridium perfringens*-associated food poisoning. Laboratory tests of the chicken showed that it contained *C. perfringens* ($\ge 10^5$ organisms/gram).

Reported by AF Taylor, MPH, PF Ryan, RS, MPPA, JJ Kalnas, JM Downer, RS, LE Mahoney, MD, San Bernardino County Health Dept; SB Werner, MD, California Dept of Health Services; Enteric Diseases Br, Bacterial Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: Between 1975 and 1979, 57 outbreaks (3,223 cases) of foodborne illness associated with *C. perfringens* were reported to CDC, making *C. perfringens* the third most common bacterial pathogen (after *Salmonella* and *Staphylococcus*) implicated in cases of foodborne disease. The most common vehicles in *C. perfringens*-associated outbreaks were beef and beef products; turkey was the next most common.

C. perfringens is widely distributed in the environment and is frequently isolated from the intestinal tract of animals and humans (1). Some strains produce heat-resistant spores that are not destroyed by cooking but may germinate and multiply if food is held at a warm temperature (optimally in the range of 109-117 F, 42.8-47.2 C) for a period of hours (2). The organism is demanding in its nutritional needs, requiring 13-14 amino acids and 5-6 growth factors for multiplication; these nutritional requirements may account for the frequent association of such outbreaks with high-protein foods.

C. perfringens can be confirmed as the causative agent of an outbreak of foodborne disease by culturing the same serotype from both epidemiologically incriminated food and stool specimens of ill individuals, isolating the same serotype from stool specimens of ill individuals but not of controls, or identifying $\geq 10^5$ organisms per gram from epidemiologically implicated food.

References

- Collee JG, Knowlden JA, Hobbs BC. Studies on the growth, sporulation and carriage of Clostridium welchii with special reference to food poisoning strains. J Appl Bacteriol 1961;24:326-39.
- Bryan FL. What the sanitarian should know about Clostridium perfringens foodborne illness. J Milk Food Technol 1969;32:383-9.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE / CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30333 OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Director, Centers for Disease Control William H. Foege, M.D. Director, Epidemiology Program Office Philip S. Brachman, M.D. Editor Michael B. Gregg, M.D. Managing Editor Anne D. Mather, M.A. Mathematical Statistician Keewhan Choi, Ph.D. Postage and Fees Paid U.S. Department of HHS HHS 396

